

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,559	02/14/2005	Shinjirou Sakurai	018765-203	9113
21839 7590 04/12/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			HESS, BRUCE H	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	`				
10/524,559 SAKURAI ET AL.	`				
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Bruce H. Hess 1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-21.07 (amendment)					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) X Claim(s) 1~4 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) █ Claim(s) └ ~ Ч is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-1	· 52 .				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
· _ ·					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National State	ge				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
AM-selver attal					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/524,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-4 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Nojima et al. for the reasons of record.

As with the other parameters discussed in the last Office action, determination of the particle size of a resin (e.g., the claimed polyolefin copolymer) in an emulsion (e.g., the claimed resin emulsion (c)) constitutes the optimization of operating conditions in the absence of unexpected results or a showing of result-effectivity. It is noted that the first full paragraph on page 10 of applicants' specification alleges the criticality of the newly recited polyolefin copolymer particle size. If these alleged results can be substantiated with objective evidence, this rejection will have been overcome. Such objective evidence would be considered by this examiner after final rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Bure Her

BRUCE H. HESS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PROUP 1300